

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/534,085	05/06/2005	Carl-Olof Palm	OHMAN-001	2381
32954 JAMES C. LYI	7590 04/19/200 OON	1	EXAMINER	
100 DAINGERFIELD ROAD			FRANCIS, FAYE	
SUITE 100 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		3725	
			,	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE
3 MO	NTHS	04/19/2007	PAI	PER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

			<i>0</i>	
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/534,085	PALM, CARL-OLOF	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Faye Francis	3725	
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	-
VVHIO - Exte afte - If NO - Fails Any	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING consions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR rSIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by star reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT itute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status	·			
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23	3 February 2007.		
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ T	his action is non-final.		
3)[Since this application is in condition for allow	wance except for formal matte	rs, prosecution as to the merits is	
	closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex par</i> te <i>Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposit	tion of Claims			
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the applicati	on.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 13-19 is/are withd	rawn from consideration.		
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.		
Applicat	tion Papers			
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.		
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on 06 May 2005 is/are:	a)⊠ accepted or b) object	ed to by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to t	the drawing(s) be held in abeyand	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	rection is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority docume Certified copies of the priority docume Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur	ents have been received. ents have been received in Appriority documents have been	oplication No	
*	See the attached detailed Office action for a		eceived.	
	OSS the attached detailed Office action for a f	not of the certified copies flot i	000170 u .	
Attachme	nt(s)			
	ice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)	
	ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08))/Mail Date formal Patent Application	
	er No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/6/05</u> .	. 6) Other:		

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5/6/05.

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of group I in the reply filed on 2/23/07 is acknowledged.

Specification

2. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means", "said," and "comprises" should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes." etc.

3. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because of the use of legal phraseology [note the word "comprises" in line 2. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) THE NAMES OF THE PARTIES TO A JOINT RESEARCH AGREEMENT.
- (e) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC.
- (f) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
 - (1) Field of the Invention.
 - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (g) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (h) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (i) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.

Art Unit: 3725

(j) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).

- (k) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (I) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims are generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform with current U.S. practice. They appear to be a literal translation into English from a foreign document and are replete with grammatical and idiomatic errors. For example **only**, the recitation of "Method for decomposing biologically and/or chemically waste" in the preamble of claim 1, renders the claim indefinite, since the preamble is conveying that the claim is a method type claim, but the body of the claim does not provides steps for decomposing method. Therefore, it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. For examination purposes, the examiner will treat this claim as an apparatus claim.

Art Unit: 3725

Claim 1 is indefinite since all that the applicant considers to be encompassed by the phrase "decomposition reactor or the like" cannot be determined.

With respect to claim 1: a broad range or limitation together with a narrow range or limitation that falls within the broad range or limitation (in the same claim) is considered indefinite, since the resulting claim does not clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired. Note the explanation given by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences in Ex parte Wu, 10 USPQ2d 2031, 2033 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1989), as to where broad language is followed by "such as" and then narrow language. The Board stated that this can render a claim indefinite by raising a question or doubt as to whether the feature introduced by such language is (a) merely exemplary of the remainder of the claim, and therefore not required, or (b) a required feature of the claims. Note also, for example, the decisions of Ex parte Steigewald, 131 USPQ 74 (Bd. App. 1961); Ex parte Hall, 83 USPQ 38 (Bd. App. 1948); and Ex parte Hasche, 86 USPQ 481 (Bd. App. 1949). In the present instance, claim 1 recites the broad recitation microbes and the claim also recites typically aerobic and/or anaerobic bacteria, which is the narrower statement of the range/limitation. This type of indefinite language is repeated throughout the claims.

Claim 1 is indefinite because it is not clear what the phrase "operating on the principle of a multi-ring double action impact mill" is intended to encompass.

With respect to claim 1: the addition of the phrase "other industrial waste" is confusing since it is not clear what other industrial waste the applicant is referring to?

Application/Control Number: 10/534,085 Page 6

Art Unit: 3725

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

7. Claims 1-12 are rejected as best understood under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Data base WIP.

The claims are interpreted as best understood by the examiner. The claims are interpreted as requiring a waste pretreatment device comprising a rotor and stator having blades interacting together in order to inherently treat the waste such as aerobic and/or anaerobic bacteria [see the Figs and the abstract]. Data base WIP is considered to clearly show a device having the structural elements of the claims that can be understood.

8. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tiumanok [4,813,619].

The claims are interpreted as best understood by the examiner. The claims are interpreted as requiring a waste pretreatment device comprising a rotor and stator having blades interacting together in order to inherently treat the waste such as aerobic and/or anaerobic bacteria [see the Figs]. Tiumanok is considered to clearly show a device having the structural elements of the claims that can be understood.

9. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by lwako et al [4,691,867].

Application/Control Number: 10/534,085

Art Unit: 3725

Page 7

The claims are interpreted as best understood by the examiner. The claims are interpreted as requiring a waste pretreatment device comprising a rotor and stator having blades interacting together in order to inherently treat the waste such as aerobic and/or anaerobic bacteria [see the Figs]. Iwako et al is considered to clearly show a device having the structural elements of the claims that can be understood.

Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Faye Francis whose telephone number is 571-272-4423. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Banks Derris can be reached on 571-272-4419. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Fave Francis

Application/Control Number: 10/534,085

Art Unit: 3725

Primary Examiner Art Unit 3725

FF

Page 8